

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	√O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,554		10/17/2003	Wilfried Kolbe	234/1/046	234/1/046 1164	
170	7590	10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RD M. GOL		CHAU, MINH H			
25 EAST SUITE 41	`SALEM ST 19	REET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2854		
HACKEN	NSACK, NJ	07601				
				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/688,554	KOLBE ET AL.	Qu				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Minh H. Chau	2854					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ess				
Period fo	or Reply		-					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commedition (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	July 2005.						
		nis action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 5-12 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.						
5)🖾	Claim(s) <u>6,9 and 11</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7,10 and 12 is/aré objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, (-, -, (,)					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	age				
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* \$	see the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	` '							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		ate Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					
Patent and To	ademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/688,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens (GB 552,805).

With respect to **claim 5**, Stevens teach a printing press or a gravure printing process for a multi-stand gravure printing machine including a first printing unit (Fig. 1, page 3, lines31-36) containing an impression roller (3) and a driven print cylinder (2), and at least one additional printing unit (Fig. 1 and page 3, lines 16-37), each additional printing unit including an impression roller (3) and a driven print cylinder (2), the process comprising the steps of: actively driving the impression roller (3) of the at least one additional printing unit (page 2, lines 17-30), actively driving the print cylinder (2) of the at least one additional printing unit (page 2, lines 17-30), and regulating the web tension of a web by a drive of the impression roller of the at least one additional printing unit (see Figs. 1-7 and pages 1-4 of Stevens).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/688,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens as applied to claim 5 above, in view of Durr et al. (US # 5,740,054)

With respect to **claim 8**, Stevens teaches all the limitation as explained above, except for the recitation of "regulating, during start-up, ... optical sensor"

Durr et al. teach a printing presses including regulating, during start-up, the rotational speed of the print cylinder in the at least one additional printing unit by an optical sensor (see cols 2-4 of Durr et al.)

In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to modify the device of Stevens to include regulating, during start-up, the rotational speed of the print cylinder in the at least one additional printing unit by an optical sensor as taught by Durr et al. so that registration of the rotational speed of the print cylinder in the printing unit can be achieved.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6, 9 and 11 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 7, 10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh H. Chau whose telephone number is (571) 272-2156. The examiner can normally be reached on M - TH 9:30AM - 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,554

Art Unit: 2854

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHC October 01, 2005 MINH CHAU PRIMARY EXAMINER